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Ocean heat uptake in the Earth energy budget

\[ N = F - \alpha T \]

Radiative forcing

\( F \)

\( \alpha T \)

Climate feedback

Ocean heat uptake mitigates the rate of surface climate change.

Ocean heat storage causes global and regional sea level change.
Two-layer model for transient climate response

\[ N = F - \alpha T \]
\[ N = C_u \frac{dT}{dt} + \kappa (T - T_d) \]

For a few years after switching on \( F \), the upper-ocean heat capacity \( C_u \) determines the rate of climate change.

On longer timescales, most of the heat goes into the deep ocean.

For many decades, \( T_d << T \), so \( N \approx \kappa T \) and \( T \approx F/\left(\alpha + \kappa\right) \).

The ocean heat uptake efficiency \( \kappa \) reduces the surface warming by removing heat into the deep ocean.

Transient climate response in K
\[ TCR = \frac{F(2\times CO_2)}{\alpha + \kappa} \]
Ocean heat uptake is \( \sim \frac{1}{3} \) of CO\(_2\) radiative forcing

\[
    F = \alpha T + \kappa T
\]

Ocean heat uptake efficiency \( \kappa \) has a spread of a factor of \( \sim 2 \) across CMIP3+5 AOGCMs.

A lot is known about the spread in \( \alpha \), much less about the spread in \( \kappa \).
Geographical distribution of ocean heat uptake

At time of $2x\text{CO}_2$ in $1\text{pctCO}_2$

Kuhlbrodt and Gregory (2012)
Effect of surface flux changes on ocean heat content (OHC)

OHC change under elevated CO₂
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OHC change due to windstress change
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Processes of interior ocean heat transport below ~100 m
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Processes of interior ocean heat transport below ~100 m

Ocean heat uptake efficiency

Normalized OHU (W m\(^{-2}\)K\(^{-1}\))

HadCM3 as an example AOGCM
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OHU in basins ÷ time-mean (global) ΔSST

- S Ocean: 0.47±0.16
- Tropical Indopacific: 0.37±0.17
- Tropical Atlantic: 0.24±0.16
- N Indopacific: 0.11±0.07
- N Atlantic: 0.12±0.10

Global total correlates most strongly (~0.85) with its S Ocean contribution.
Relationship of OHUE ($\approx \text{OHU} \div T$) and AMOC strength
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OHU is not strongly influenced by AMOC
AMOC affects the vertical distribution of ocean warming

Correlation coefficient across 13 models between control AMOC strength and global mean T change as a function of depth (normalised by volume mean – not important)

-0.87

Stronger AMOC → Smaller $T$ (warming at surface) → larger $\kappa = \text{OHU} / T$
AMOC affects the vertical distribution of ocean warming

Stronger AMOC $\rightarrow$ Smaller $T$ (warming at surface) $\rightarrow$ larger $\kappa = \text{OHU} \div T$
Ocean warming may penetrate too deeply in models

Comparison with CMIP3+5 at 2xCO2 in 1pctCO2 with observed change from Levitus et al. (2012)

$\Delta T_z$ is a measure of the vertical distribution, and correlates with OHUE $\kappa$
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Conclusions

There is a large spread in ocean heat uptake efficiency (OHUE) across CMIP3+5 models under increasing CO₂.
This represents an uncertainty in projections of ocean heat uptake (OHU), sea level rise and surface warming.
The largest contribution to OHUE is from the Southern Ocean.
There is a maximum in Southern Ocean heat uptake around 45°S, partly caused by Ekman pumping. There is a dipole in North Atlantic heat content change.
In models, vertical mixing (convection) is the most important heat uptake process in the Southern Ocean and the North Atlantic, and advection at low latitudes.
OHUE (=OHU / T) correlates strongly with control AMOC strength because models with a strong AMOC simulate a deeper penetration of warming and consequently a smaller T; AMOC does not strongly influence OHU.
Models project a deeper penetration of warming than suggested by observations, which may suggest an excessive OHUE.
FAFMIP (a CMIP6 project) will give new information about the response of AOGCMs to ocean surface flux forcing and about simulated OHU processes. Any observational constraints will be valuable for reducing uncertainty.