# US CLIVAR Working Group on Decadal Predictability

6.0

5.0

Lisa Goddard, Arun Kumar, Amy Solomon (co-chairs) Jim Carton, Tom Delworth, Clara Deser, Ichiro Fukomori, *Gabi Hegerl*, Ben Kirtman, Yochanan Kushnir, *Jerry Meehl*, Matt Newman, *Doug Smith, Tim Stockdale*, Dan Vimont

## Climate Variability & Change in CO



#### Ρ



VPM12 – June 3-5, 2009

## Climate Change Projections Cannot Deliver Predictions of Decadal Variability



## Scientific Basis for Decadal Prediction

- Existence of decadal predictability needs to be proven
- Null hypothesis: decadal fluctuations in SST associated with the MOC (AMO) or PDO arise from low-pass filtering of unpredictable atmospheric noise by the slow components of the climate system such as the oceans
- But there is some tantalizing evidence from models:
  - ✓ PREDICATE → 60% of decadal variance in Europe/
    North Atlantic climate potentially predictable

✓ GFDL workshop → potential predictability of MOC

(Courtesy: Joe Tribbia, NCAR)



VPM12 – June 3-5, 2009 (Courtesy of Keith Dixon, GFDL)

CM2.1 1860 CONTROL

#### The set starting at Jan 1101



VPM12 – June 3-5, 2009 (Courtesy of Keith Dixon, GFDL)

### **Global Climate Change Projections**



Source: IPCC 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report, Working Group 1: The Physical Science Basis for Climate Change http://ipcc-wg1.ucar.edu/wg1/wg1-report.html

#### **Basic model runs:**

- 1.1) **10 year integrations** with initial dates towards the end of 1960, 1965, 1970, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995 and 2000 and 2005 (see below).
  - Ensemble size of 3, optionally increased to O(10)
  - Ocean initial conditions should be in some way representative of the observed anomalies or full fields for the start date.

- Land, sea-ice and atmosphere initial conditions left to the discretion of each group.

1.2) Extend integrations with initial dates near the end of 1960, 1980 and 2005 to 30 yrs.

- Each start date to use a 3 member ensemble, optionally increased to O(10)

- Ocean initial conditions represent the observed anomalies or full fields.

### Few Pioneers

- 1. Hadley Centre (Smith et al, 2007 Science)
- 2. IFM-GEOMAR (Keenlyside et al, 2008 Nature)
- 3. MPI/Hadley Centre (Pohlman et al, 2008 submitted)
- Uncertainty (how to present)
- Validation/verification
- Source(s) of predictability

## Smith et al (2007)



#### <u>CONs</u>

- Global average
- Little to no evidence of [predictable] LF climate variability at long lead

### PROs:

- Improved projections relative to original system
- View of change in uncertainty with time scale
- 1) Uncertainty in decadal-average
- 2) Uncertainty through a decade due to interannual variability

3) Realization of natural variability through

decade



## Smith et al (2007)







0.2

0.3

0.1



0.4 -0.15-0.1-0.05 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 Figure 5

#### **Ratio of Externally-forced to Total Variance**



### Regionality?

 T<sub>s</sub> projections improved over many regions

### Climate variability?

- T<sub>s</sub> projection worse over N.Atlantic
- Much improvement in regional T is associated with improvement in regional H, which bears striking resemblance to regions where T is dominated by externally-forced signal.

**Objective 1:** Define a framework to distinguish natural decadal variability from anthropogenically forced variability and to quantify their relative magnitudes.

**Objective 2:** Work towards better understanding decadal variability and predictability through metrics that can be used as a strategy to assess and validate decadal climate predictions and simulations.

### Efforts DPWG Can Potentially Leverage

- ENSEMBLES
- US CLIVAR AMOC Team
- IPCC CMIP3 & CMIP5 (esp. initialized runs)
- THOR (ThermoHaline Overturning at Risk, EU)

## Planning for Broad Community Participation in Analysis of Decadal Prediction Experiments

- CMEP (2004): Coupled Model Evaluation Project
  - 19 Funded Proposals (+ 2 lab funded)
  - 18 Papers published (at least)
- DRICOMP (2007): DRought In COupled Models Project
  - 16 Funded Proposals
  - Papers to Journal of Climate special issue on drought (together with results from US CLIVAR Drought WG)
- DECPREP ?? (2010): DECadal PREdictability Project

# Prospects for Evaluations of CMIP5 runs? Opportunity

- CMIP5 model results provide new opportunities.
- Scope of a model-evaluation-type program for AR5?
  - Decadal runs (US CLIVAR Decadal Predictability Working Group) (some interest by the UK)?
  - Value added in CMIP5 models (complexity, fidelity)?
  - Regional, high resolution "applications-focused" (ie links between IPCC WGI and WGII)
- US agency interest in supporting research proposals How can VAMOS encourage and coordinate analyses of CMIP5 runs?

## **DPWG Timeline**

- January 2009 finalize membership and prospectus
- February 2009 first telecon to begin planning activities.

 $\rightarrow$  Quasi-Monthly telecons: progress on analysis, workshop planning, etc.

- June 2009 –1<sup>st</sup> WG meeting, coincident with CCSM workshop
- September 2009 submit white paper, summarizing key results from WG and May '09 workshop session on isolating natural decadal variability.
- Spring 2011 Workshop on 'Defining Metrics to Assess Decadal Predictions in Climate Models' as part of DECPREP
- Summer 2011 Write workshop report and WG wrap-up focused on decadal prediction metrics

Other Meetings of Interest:

- The Eighth Workshop on Decadal Climate Variability: Decadal Climate Predictability and Prediction: Are We Ready? October, 2009 St. Michaels, Maryland
- Predicting the climate of the coming decades January 11-15, 2010, RSMAS Miami, FL VPM12 – June 3-5, 2009